PTOL-413A (II8-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0951-0031
U.S. Patent and Tredemark Officer U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

| Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Application No.:09/690,457First Named Applicant: [Examiner: Lilian Vo Art Unit: Z/Z7] | Villiam Status of App | Ku plication: Fi | est Office | | | | |
| Tentative Participants: Action (1) Wayne Bailey (2) | | | | | | | |
| (3)(4) | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Date of Interview: 6/29/04 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AMPM) EDT | | | | | | | |
| Type of Interview Requested: (1) X Telephonic (2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference | | | | | | | |
| Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES If yes, provide brief description: | [] NO | | | | | | |
| as jour provide brief descriptions | | | _ | | | | |
| Issues To Be Discussed | | | | | | | |
| Issues Claims/ Prior (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed | | | | |
| (1) Re; (102) 24 Spyker | [] | [] | [] | | | | |
| (2) Re; (102) Z6 Brundridge | [] | [] | [] | | | | |
| (3) Re; (102) 9,24,25 Hove | [] | [] | [] | | | | |
| (4) Rej (102) Z Janniro | [] | [] | [] | | | | |
| (X Continuation Sheet Attached | | | | | | | |
| Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: See a frached Continuation Sheet | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) | | | | | | | |
| as soon as possible. | | | | | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature) | | | | | | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a boundh by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the including case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Pakent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Continuation Sheet for Interview Request Form for Patent Application Serial No. 09/690,457

1) Rejection of Claim 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Spyker et al. (US 6,571,389).

With respect to Claim 24, the cited reference does not teach or suggest any type of automatic invocation of an environment variable manager whenever any of triggering events a), b), c) or d) occur, and then using this same environment variable manager to determine if such triggered event causes a modification to an affected path sequence.

2) Rejection of Claim 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Brundridge (US 6,279,109).

With respect to Claim 26, the cited reference does not teach or suggest a determination of whether a directory within a path sequence of an environment variable is deleted.

3) Rejection of Claims 9, 24 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Hove et al. (US 6,564,369).

With respect to Claim 9, the cited reference does not teach or suggest any correction of erroneous modifications that have been made. Rather, Hove teaches a prevention technique which prevents erroneous modifications from being made.

With respect to Claim 24, the cited reference does not teach automatic invocation of an environment variable manager which is used to determine if the event which triggered its invocation causes a modification to an effected path sequence.

With respect to Claim 25, the cited reference does not teach automatic invocation of an environment variable manager whenever a path sequence is modified.

4) Rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Janniro et al. (US 5,634,098).

With respect to Claim 2, the cited reference does not teach or suggest the claimed method for correcting a path sequence of an environment variable in a data processing

Page 1 of 2 Ku et al. - 09/690,457 system, or the claimed feature of monitoring for a change effecting the path sequence of the environment variable.

Further with respect to Claim 2, the cited reference does not teach altering of an environment variable to ensure a proper file is found.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

6644 Cherokee Ct Longmont, CO 60503 Phone: (303) 652-8315 Fax: (303) 652-3608

JUN 2 5 2004

Wayne P Bailey, LLC





| x U rgent | Patent Application Serial # 09/690,457 | | CC: ment | | ☐ Please Recycle |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------|---------------|------------------|
| Re: | | | | | |
| Phone: | (703) 305-7864 | | Pages: | 4 | |
| Faxc | (703) 872-9306 | | Date: | June 26, 2004 | |
| . | USPTO Art Unit 2127 | | | | |
| То: | Examiner Lilian Vo | | From: | Wayne Bailey | |

•Comments: Please see attached Interview Request Form